### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**



## Relationship between prevalence of cardiometabolic diseases, self-reported memory loss, and self-reported depressed mood of older adults in Korea according to frailty and physical activity status

Yong-Ho Lim<sup>1</sup>, Won-Je Kim<sup>1</sup>, Chul-Ho Shin<sup>2</sup>, Hun-Young Park<sup>1,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Sports Medicine and Science, Graduate School, Konkuk University, 05029 Seoul, Republic of Korea

<sup>2</sup>Department of Sports Health Care, Namseoul University, 31020 Cheonan, Republic of Korea

<sup>3</sup>Physical Activity and Performance Institute, Konkuk University, 05029 Seoul, Republic of Korea

\*Correspondence parkhy1980@konkuk.ac.kr (Hun-Young Park)

#### Abstract

As the older population increases worldwide, frailty is the area of research that deserve further investigation and is considered a major social and public health concern. Frailty appears physically and mentally, and recently, the term cognitive frailty has been used to combine physical frailty and mild cognitive impairment syndrome. Frailty in older adults is characterized by low physical activity levels and a sedentary lifestyle. Physical activity is essential in managing mental health issues such as depression and can improve the executive function and memory of older adults with mild cognitive impairment. Therefore, this study aimed to examine the prevalence of cardiometabolic diseases, self-reported memory loss, and depression among older adults in Korea according to frailty and physical activity status. Using data from the 2019 Korea National Health and Nutrition Survey, this study analyzed 613 community-dwelling older males aged >65 years. Physical activity was measured using a Global Physical Activity Questionnaire. A health-related quality of life instrument with eight items (HINT-8) was used to assess self-reported memory loss and depressed mood. Relationships between physical activity levels, self-reported memory loss and depressed mood were analyzed using logistic regression. The incidence of HINT-8 memory (0.52 (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.32-0.85)) was significantly lower in the Physical Activity Level (PAL)-high/prefrail; the incidence of HINT-8 depression (0.35 (95% CI: 0.21-0.59); 0.29 (95% CI: 0.17-0.52)) was significantly lower in the and PAL-high/pre-frail. We verified that the group with PAL-low/frail had the highest self-reported memory loss and depressed mood, suggesting that more physical activity may reduce self-reported memory loss and depressed mood among frail older males in Korea. However further research is needed to analyze the level of physical activity separately between work and leisure.

#### Keywords

Older adults; Frailty; Physical activity; Self-reported memory loss; Self-reported depressed mood

#### **1. Introduction**

As the older population increases worldwide, frailty is the area of research that deserve further investigation and is considered a major social and public health concern [1, 2]. According to Fried, frailty causes health problems such as mortality, falls, and hospitalization as age increases; if a patient exhibits more than three of the five items used as indicators of frailty (unintentional weight loss, muscle weakness, self-reported exhaustion, slow walking speed, and physical activity reduction), they are frail; if one or two items are included, they are prefrail; and if none is included, they are robust [3].

Frailty is associated with major cardiometabolic diseases, including cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus [3]. Hy-

pertension appears to have the highest prevalence rate among older adults in Korea; in particular, frail older adults have a higher prevalence rate than pre-frail and robust groups [4, 5]. Diabetes mellitus and frailty are closely related to aging mechanisms [6]. The prevalence of diabetes mellitus in older individuals increases with frailty [7]. Dyslipidemia has a high prevalence rate in the frailty elderly [8]. Total cholesterol increases with age; however, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) decreases [9]. Low HDL-C was seen in the elderly in the pre-frail and frailty [10]. Metabolic syndrome is frequently observed in older adults, and its prevalence increases in older individuals with frailty [11]. Metabolic syndrome is accompanied by insulin resistance and chronic microinflammation, has a strong association with sarcopenia, and can be estimated to be associated with frailty [12]. Studies have shown that older adults with metabolic syndrome are more likely to be frail than older adults without metabolic syndrome, and this association is very strong [13, 14]. Obesity is associated with frailty; the higher the body mass index (BMI), the higher the likelihood of pre-frailty [15].

As age increases, memory function decreases in older adults; due to these symptoms, some older adults are diagnosed with mild cognitive impairment [16]. Mild cognitive impairment is a symptom of aging, and dementia and memory function are the major factors [17, 18]. Recently, Cognitive frailty is used to describe the co-occurring frailty and mild cognitive impairment, which is also associated with depression [19, 20].

Frailty shows physical and psychological manifestation, and depressive symptoms and frailty are strongly related [21, 22]. Those with frailty are four times more likely to develop depression; similarly, people with depression are approximately four times more likely to develop frailty [23].

Older adults tend to reduce their physical activity because they usually move less and spend more time sitting [24]. In other words, older adults with features of frailty are less physically active with a more sedentary lifestyle [25]. In frail older individuals, moderate-intensity physical activity (functional exercise such as walking, sitting, standing up, and balancing) can reduce the prevalence of cardiometabolic diseases [26]. In addition, physical activity plays a vital role in managing mental health issues such as depression and can improve the executive function and memory of older adults with mild cognitive impairment [27, 28].

However, no comprehensive studies have been conducted on the prevalence of cardiometabolic diseases, memory function, or depression according to physical activity levels (PALs) in frail older individuals. Therefore, the study aimed to examine the prevalence of cardiometabolic diseases, self-reported memory loss, and depression among older adults in Korea according to frailty and physical activity status. We hypothesized that PALs are related to the prevalence of chronic diseases, memory function, and depression in older males in Korea.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Participants

This study analyzed community-dwelling older males aged  $\geq$ 65 years using data from the 2019 Korea National Health and Nutrition Survey published by the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency. In 2019, 8110 people completed all the surveys. However, we excluded 7366 participants, including those under 65 years and female participants. Of the remaining 744 participants, 125 with missing data were excluded. Six participants with robustness were further excluded after the frailty criteria evaluation. Finally, 613 participants were included in the study (Fig. 1).

#### 2.2 Measurement analysis data

Data on age, weight, height, educational level, economic activity status, smoking status, and alcohol consumption were collected from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Survey. The prevalence of cardiometabolic diseases were determined by measuring the systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, fasting glucose, total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL-C, BMI and waist circumference. A health-related quality of life instrument with eight items (HINT-8) was used to assess self-reported memory loss and depressed mood. The frailty criteria included weight loss per year, grip strength, usual stress awareness questions, Euro Quality of Life 5-Dimensions exercise questions, and leisure physical activity. Physical activity variables were expressed as metabolic equivalent tasks (MET-min/week) min/week.

#### 2.3 Odds of cardiometabolic diseases

The prevalence of hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure  $\geq$ 130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq$ 80 mmHg [29]. Dyslipidemia was defined as total cholesterol  $\geq$ 240 mg/dL, triglyceride  $\geq$ 200 mg/dL, or HDL-C <40 mg/dL [30]. Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting glucose  $\geq$ 126 mg/dL [31]. Obesity was defined as BMI  $\geq$ 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> [32]. Metabolic syndrome was classified according to the guidelines of the National Cholesterol Education Program-Adult Treatment Panel 3 and the Korea Society for the Study of Obesity [33]. This was diagnosed if more than three of the five criteria were met. The metabolic syndrome criteria included waist circumference >90 cm, systolic blood pressure >130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure >85 mmHg, and triglyceride >130 mg/dL, HDL-C <40 mg/dL, or fasting glucose >100 mg/dL.

## 2.4 Self-reported memory loss and self-reported depressed mood

Self-reported memory loss and depressed mood were expressed using a HINT-8 memory and depression. Self-reported memory loss was determined when the "I have difficulty remembering" item was selected. Self-reported depressed mood was determined when the item "I felt depressed" was selected. The HINT-8 has proven to be appropriate for health-related measurements in older adults [34].

#### 2.5 Frailty

Based on the criteria for diagnosing frailty proposed by Fried [3], modified frailty criteria were established that could be used for data from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Survey [3, 35]. Unintentional weight loss is  $\geq 3$  kg per year [36]. Weakness was applied to the muscle weakness criteria suggested by the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia 2014 and grip strength of <26 kg [37]. Slow walking speed was assessed using the response to "some difficulty walking" and must lie all day in the Euro Quality of life 5-Dimensions exercise questions [38]. In the usual stress awareness question, self-reported exhaustion was assessed based on the response to "feeling very much" [39]. Low physical activity was usually less than 2 h of leisure-moderate-intensity physical activity per week or less than 1 h of leisure-vigorous-intensity physical activity [40]. Participants who met three or more of the five items were classified as frail, and those who met one or two of the criteria were classified as pre-frail.



FIGURE 1. Flow diagram for the selection of study participants.

#### 2.6 Physical activity questionnaire

Physical activity was measured using a Global Physical Activity Questionnaire. Work/leisure vigorous-intensity physical activity, moderate-intensity physical activity, and movement were calculated as metabolic equivalents (MET-min/week) [41].

—Moderated-intensity activity (MET-min/week) = 4.0 (MET)  $\times$  moderate-intensity physical activity minutes  $\times$  moderate-intensity days.

—Vigorous-intensity activity (MET-min/week) = 8.0 (MET)  $\times$  vigorous-intensity physical minutes  $\times$  vigorous-intensity days.

—Movement (MET-min/week) = 4.0 (MET)  $\times$  movement physical activity minutes  $\times$  movement days.

—Total Physical Activity (MET-min/week) = moderateintensity activity + vigorous-intensity activity + movement.

PALs were classified as PAL-low (0–599 MET-min/week) or PAL-high ( $\geq$ 600 MET-min/week).

# 2.7 Physical activity levels and frailty status group

We divided into four groups. PAL-low/frail was defined low physical activity level and frail status. PAL-low/pre-frail was defined low physical activity level and pre-frail status. PALhigh/frail was defined high physical activity level and frail status. PAL-high/pre-frail was defined high physical activity level and pre-frail status.

#### 2.8 Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using stratified cluster sampling, and standard plots and weights were used for the data. The chi-square test was used to analyze categorical and continuous variables to compare general characteristics. Continuous variables were presented as means and standard deviations. One-way analysis of variance was used to compare the differences between PALs and frailty status. Moreover, the relationship between physical activity, frailty status, odds of cardiometabolic diseases, self-reported memory loss, and self-reported depressed mood was determined using logistic regression after controlling for covariates using unadjusted and adjusted regression models. The covariate adjustment was implemented in two stages. Model 1 was unadjusted, while Model 2 was adjusted for age, smoking, alcohol consumption, education and economic activity status. Logistic regression findings are presented as odds ratios (ORs) and their associated 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All statistical analyses were performed using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 28.0 for Windows (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Statistical significance was set at p < p0.05.

#### 3. Result

#### 3.1 Characteristics of the study sample

A total of 613 older Korean males aged  $\geq$ 65 years (mean age, 72.68  $\pm$  5.10 years) were included in this study. For education level, elementary school or below (31.8%) was the highest, followed by high school (28.1%), middle school (21.5%), and college or above (18.6%). The marital status of the majority of the participants was married (99.3%), while a few patients were single (0.7%). Economic activity was lower among the employed participants (42.4%) than among the unemployed group (57.6%). Smoking and alcohol consumption were reported by 18.4% and 68.4% of the participants, respectively. HINT-8 memory and HINT-8 depression were observed in 65.7% and 33.4% of the participants, respectively. The odds of hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, obesity and metabolic syndrome was 45.7%, 38.0%, 16.3%, 32.6% and 33.9%, respectively (Table 1).

# **3.2 Differences in characteristics according to PALs and frailty status**

Self-reported memory loss, according to HINT-8 memory, was highest in the PAL-low/frail group and lowest in the PAL-high/pre-frail group, 75.5% and 55.7%, respectively ( $\chi^2 = 19.535$ , p < 0.001). Similarly, self-reported depressed mood, according to HINT-8 depression, was highest in the PAL-low/frail group and lowest in the PAL-high/pre-frail group, 46.8% and 21.5%, respectively ( $\chi^2 = 26.549$ , p < 0.001). Odds of diabetes mellitus was highest in the PAL-high/frail group at 25.8% and lowest in the PAL-low/frail group at 11.2% ( $\chi^2 = 8.570$ , p = 0.036). Alcohol consumption was highest in the PAL-high/pre-frail group at 77.8% and lowest in the PAL-low/frail group at 58.5% ( $\chi^2 = 15.279$ , p = 0.002) (Table 2).

# 3.3 Differences in body composition and risk factors for cardiometabolic diseases according to PALs and frailty status

The weight of the PAL-high/pre-frail group was higher than those of the PAL-low/pre-frail, PAL-low/frail, and PAL-high/pre-frail groups (p = 0.009). Diastolic blood pressure was lower in the PAL-low/frail group than in the PAL-low/pre-frail group, and it was also lower in the PAL-high/frail group than in the PAL-high/pre-frail group (p = 0.002) (Table 3).

#### 3.4 Odds ratios for chronic diseases, HINT-8 memory, and HINT-8 depression according to physical activity levels and frailty status (95% CI)

The ORs for cardiometabolic diseases, HINT-8 memory, and HINT-8 depression according to PAL and frailty status were as follows: the prevalence of diabetes mellitus (3.28 (95% CI: 1.52–7.10); 3.47 (95% CI: 1.56–7.69); 2.31 (95% CI: 1.22–4.40); 2.74 (95% CI: 1.35–5.58)) was significantly higher in the PAL-high/frail and PAL-high/pre-frail; the prevalence of obesity (1.99 (95% CI: 1.02–3.87); 2.18 (95% CI: 1.10–4.33)) was significantly higher in the PAL-high/frail; the incidence of HINT-8 memory (0.61 (95% CI: 0.39–0.98); 0.52 (95% CI:

0.32-0.85)) was significantly lower in the PAL-low/pre-frail and PAL-high/pre-frail; the incidence of HINT-8 depression (0.53 (95% CI: 0.34-0.82); 0.47 (95% CI, 0.30-0.76); 0.35 (95% CI: 0.21-0.59); 0.29 (95% CI: 0.17-0.52)) was significantly lower in the PAL-low/pre-frail and PAL-high/pre-frail (Table 4).

TABLE 1.	Baseline	characteristics	of the	participants.
----------	----------	-----------------	--------	---------------

Variables         Participants (n = 613)           Age (yr)         72.68 $\pm$ 5.10           Height (cm)         166.05 $\pm$ 5.72           Weight (kg)         65.90 $\pm$ 9.58           BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )         23.86 $\pm$ 2.98           Waist circumference (cm)         89.30 $\pm$ 8.77           Fasting glucose (mg/dL)         110.09 $\pm$ 27.05           TC (mg/dL)         177.32 $\pm$ 38.64           HDL-c (mg/dL)         47.12 $\pm$ 11.24           TG (mg/dL)         139.91 $\pm$ 108.10           SBP (mmHg)         127.23 $\pm$ 15.72           DBP (mmHg)         72.61 $\pm$ 9.46           Education (%) $\leq$ Elementary school         195 (31.8)           Middle school         132 (21.5)           High school         172 (28.1) $\geq$ College         114 (18.6)           Marriage status (%)            Married         609 (99.3)           Single         4 (0.7)           Economic activity status            Employed         260 (42.4)           Unemployed         353 (57.6)           Smoking (%)         113 (18.4)           Alcohol (%)         403 (65.7)           HINT-8 memory (%)         205 (33.4)<	IADLE I. Dasenne chafacte	TABLE 1. Dasenne characteristics of the participants.						
Height (cm) $166.05 \pm 5.72$ Weight (kg) $65.90 \pm 9.58$ BMI (kg/m²) $23.86 \pm 2.98$ Waist circumference (cm) $89.30 \pm 8.77$ Fasting glucose (mg/dL) $110.09 \pm 27.05$ TC (mg/dL) $177.32 \pm 38.64$ HDL-c (mg/dL) $47.12 \pm 11.24$ TG (mg/dL) $139.91 \pm 108.10$ SBP (mmHg) $127.23 \pm 15.72$ DBP (mmHg) $72.61 \pm 9.46$ Education (%) $\leq$ Elementary school $\leq$ Elementary school $195$ ( $31.8$ )Middle school $132$ ( $21.5$ )High school $172$ ( $28.1$ ) $\geq$ College $114$ ( $18.6$ )Marriage status (%) $40.7$ )Economic activity statusEmployedEmployed $260$ ( $42.4$ )Unemployed $353$ ( $57.6$ )Smoking (%) $113$ ( $18.4$ )Alcohol (%) $419$ ( $68.4$ )HINT-8 memory (%) $403$ ( $65.7$ )HINT-8 depression (%) $233$ ( $38.0$ )Diabetes mellitus (%) $100$ ( $16.3$ )Obesity (%) $200$ ( $32.6$ )	Variables	Participants $(n = 613)$						
Weight (kg) $65.90 \pm 9.58$ BMI (kg/m²) $23.86 \pm 2.98$ Waist circumference (cm) $89.30 \pm 8.77$ Fasting glucose (mg/dL) $110.09 \pm 27.05$ TC (mg/dL) $177.32 \pm 38.64$ HDL-c (mg/dL) $47.12 \pm 11.24$ TG (mg/dL) $139.91 \pm 108.10$ SBP (mmHg) $127.23 \pm 15.72$ DBP (mmHg) $72.61 \pm 9.46$ Education (%) $\leq$ $\leq$ Elementary school $195$ ( $31.8$ )Middle school $132$ ( $21.5$ )High school $172$ ( $28.1$ ) $\geq$ College $114$ ( $18.6$ )Marriage status (%) $400$ ( $099.3$ )Single $4$ ( $0.7$ )Economic activity statusEmployed $260$ ( $42.4$ )Unemployed $353$ ( $57.6$ )Smoking (%) $113$ ( $18.4$ )Alcohol (%) $419$ ( $68.4$ )HINT-8 memory (%) $403$ ( $65.7$ )HINT-8 depression (%) $205$ ( $33.4$ )Hypertension (%) $233$ ( $38.0$ )Diabetes mellitus (%) $100$ ( $16.3$ )Obesity (%) $200$ ( $32.6$ )	Age (yr)	$72.68 \pm 5.10$						
BMI (kg/m²) $23.86 \pm 2.98$ Waist circumference (cm) $89.30 \pm 8.77$ Fasting glucose (mg/dL) $110.09 \pm 27.05$ TC (mg/dL) $177.32 \pm 38.64$ HDL-c (mg/dL) $47.12 \pm 11.24$ TG (mg/dL) $139.91 \pm 108.10$ SBP (mmHg) $127.23 \pm 15.72$ DBP (mmHg) $72.61 \pm 9.46$ Education (%) $\leq$ $\leq$ Elementary school $195$ ( $31.8$ )Middle school $132$ ( $21.5$ )High school $172$ ( $28.1$ ) $\geq$ College $114$ ( $18.6$ )Marriage status (%) $4007$ )Economic activity status $Employed$ Employed $260$ ( $42.4$ )Unemployed $353$ ( $57.6$ )Smoking (%) $113$ ( $18.4$ )Alcohol (%) $419$ ( $68.4$ )HINT-8 memory (%) $403$ ( $65.7$ )HINT-8 depression (%) $205$ ( $33.4$ )Hypertension (%) $233$ ( $38.0$ )Diabetes mellitus (%) $100$ ( $16.3$ )Obesity (%) $200$ ( $32.6$ )	Height (cm)	$166.05\pm5.72$						
Waist circumference (cm) $89.30 \pm 8.77$ Fasting glucose (mg/dL) $110.09 \pm 27.05$ TC (mg/dL) $177.32 \pm 38.64$ HDL-c (mg/dL) $47.12 \pm 11.24$ TG (mg/dL) $139.91 \pm 108.10$ SBP (mmHg) $127.23 \pm 15.72$ DBP (mmHg) $72.61 \pm 9.46$ Education (%) $\leq$ Elementary school $\leq$ Elementary school $195$ ( $31.8$ )Middle school $132$ ( $21.5$ )High school $172$ ( $28.1$ ) $\geq$ College $114$ ( $18.6$ )Marriage status (%)MarriedMarried $609$ ( $99.3$ )Single $4$ ( $0.7$ )Economic activity statusEmployed $260$ ( $42.4$ )Unemployed $353$ ( $57.6$ )Smoking (%) $113$ ( $18.4$ )Alcohol (%) $419$ ( $68.4$ )HINT-8 memory (%) $403$ ( $65.7$ )HINT-8 depression (%) $205$ ( $33.4$ )Hypertension (%) $233$ ( $38.0$ )Diabetes mellitus (%) $100$ ( $16.3$ )Obesity (%) $200$ ( $32.6$ )	Weight (kg)	$65.90 \pm 9.58$						
Fasting glucose (mg/dL) $110.09 \pm 27.05$ TC (mg/dL) $177.32 \pm 38.64$ HDL-c (mg/dL) $47.12 \pm 11.24$ TG (mg/dL) $139.91 \pm 108.10$ SBP (mmHg) $127.23 \pm 15.72$ DBP (mmHg) $72.61 \pm 9.46$ Education (%) $\leq$ Elementary school $\leq$ Elementary school $195$ ( $31.8$ )Middle school $132$ ( $21.5$ )High school $172$ ( $28.1$ ) $\geq$ College $114$ ( $18.6$ )Marriage status (%) $40.7$ )Economic activity status $e09$ ( $99.3$ )Single $4$ ( $0.7$ )Economic activity status $609$ ( $42.4$ )Unemployed $353$ ( $57.6$ )Smoking (%) $113$ ( $18.4$ )Alcohol (%) $419$ ( $68.4$ )HINT-8 memory (%) $403$ ( $65.7$ )HINT-8 depression (%) $233$ ( $38.0$ )Diabetes mellitus (%) $100$ ( $16.3$ )Obesity (%) $200$ ( $32.6$ )	BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$23.86 \pm 2.98$						
TC (mg/dL) $177.32 \pm 38.64$ HDL-c (mg/dL) $47.12 \pm 11.24$ TG (mg/dL) $139.91 \pm 108.10$ SBP (mmHg) $127.23 \pm 15.72$ DBP (mmHg) $72.61 \pm 9.46$ Education (%) $\leq$ Elementary school $195$ ( $31.8$ )Middle school $132$ ( $21.5$ )High school $172$ ( $28.1$ ) $\geq$ College $114$ ( $18.6$ )Marriage status (%) $400$ ( $99.3$ )Single $4$ ( $0.7$ )Economic activity statusEmployed $260$ ( $42.4$ ) $113$ ( $18.4$ )Alcohol (%) $419$ ( $68.4$ )HINT-8 memory (%) $403$ ( $65.7$ )HINT-8 depression (%) $205$ ( $33.4$ )Hypertension (%) $233$ ( $38.0$ )Diabetes mellitus (%) $100$ ( $16.3$ )Obessity (%) $200$ ( $32.6$ )	Waist circumference (cm)	$89.30 \pm 8.77$						
HDL-c (mg/dL) $47.12 \pm 11.24$ TG (mg/dL) $139.91 \pm 108.10$ SBP (mmHg) $127.23 \pm 15.72$ DBP (mmHg) $72.61 \pm 9.46$ Education (%) $\leq$ Elementary school $195$ ( $31.8$ )Middle school $132$ ( $21.5$ )High school $172$ ( $28.1$ ) $\geq$ College $114$ ( $18.6$ )Marriage status (%) $609$ ( $99.3$ )Single $4$ ( $0.7$ )Economic activity status $Employed$ $260$ ( $42.4$ ) $113$ ( $18.4$ )Alcohol (%) $419$ ( $68.4$ )HINT-8 memory (%) $403$ ( $65.7$ )HINT-8 depression (%) $205$ ( $33.4$ )Hypertension (%) $233$ ( $38.0$ )Diabetes mellitus (%) $100$ ( $16.3$ )Obessity (%) $200$ ( $32.6$ )	Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	$110.09\pm27.05$						
TG (mg/dL) $139.91 \pm 108.10$ SBP (mmHg) $127.23 \pm 15.72$ DBP (mmHg) $72.61 \pm 9.46$ Education (%) $\leq$ Elementary school $195 (31.8)$ Middle school $132 (21.5)$ High school $172 (28.1)$ $\geq$ College $114 (18.6)$ Marriage status (%) $Married$ Married $609 (99.3)$ Single $4 (0.7)$ Economic activity statusEmployed $260 (42.4)$ Unemployed $353 (57.6)$ Smoking (%) $113 (18.4)$ Alcohol (%) $419 (68.4)$ HINT-8 memory (%) $403 (65.7)$ HINT-8 depression (%) $205 (33.4)$ Hypertension (%) $233 (38.0)$ Diabetes mellitus (%) $100 (16.3)$ Obesity (%) $200 (32.6)$	TC (mg/dL)	$177.32\pm38.64$						
SBP (mmHg) $127.23 \pm 15.72$ DBP (mmHg) $72.61 \pm 9.46$ Education (%) $\leq Elementary school$ $\leq Elementary school$ $195 (31.8)$ Middle school $132 (21.5)$ High school $172 (28.1)$ $\geq College$ $114 (18.6)$ Marriage status (%)       Married         Married $609 (99.3)$ Single $4 (0.7)$ Economic activity status       Employed         Employed $260 (42.4)$ Unemployed $353 (57.6)$ Smoking (%) $113 (18.4)$ Alcohol (%) $419 (68.4)$ HINT-8 memory (%) $403 (65.7)$ HINT-8 depression (%) $205 (33.4)$ Hypertension (%) $233 (38.0)$ Diabetes mellitus (%) $100 (16.3)$ Obesity (%) $200 (32.6)$	HDL-c (mg/dL)	$47.12\pm11.24$						
DBP (mmHg) $72.61 \pm 9.46$ Education (%) $\leq$ Elementary school $195 (31.8)$ Middle school $132 (21.5)$ High school $172 (28.1)$ $\geq$ College $114 (18.6)$ Marriage status (%) $4 (0.7)$ Married $609 (99.3)$ Single $4 (0.7)$ Economic activity status $Employed$ $260 (42.4)$ $113 (18.4)$ $Mcohol (\%)$ $113 (18.4)$ $Alcohol (\%)$ $419 (68.4)$ HINT-8 memory (%) $403 (65.7)$ HINT-8 depression (%) $280 (45.7)$ Dyslipidemia (%) $233 (38.0)$ Diabetes mellitus (%) $100 (16.3)$ Obesity (%) $200 (32.6)$	TG (mg/dL)	$139.91 \pm 108.10$						
Education (%) $\leq$ Elementary school       195 (31.8)         Middle school       132 (21.5)         High school       172 (28.1) $\geq$ College       114 (18.6)         Marriage status (%)       114 (18.6)         Married       609 (99.3)         Single       4 (0.7)         Economic activity status       260 (42.4)         Unemployed       353 (57.6)         Smoking (%)       113 (18.4)         Alcohol (%)       419 (68.4)         HINT-8 memory (%)       403 (65.7)         HINT-8 depression (%)       205 (33.4)         Hypertension (%)       233 (38.0)         Diabetes mellitus (%)       100 (16.3)         Obesity (%)       200 (32.6)	SBP (mmHg)	$127.23\pm15.72$						
$\leq$ Elementary school195 (31.8)Middle school132 (21.5)High school172 (28.1) $\geq$ College114 (18.6)Marriage status (%) $(0.7)$ Married609 (99.3)Single4 (0.7)Economic activity status $(0.7)$ Economic activity status $(0.7)$ Smoking (%)113 (18.4)Alcohol (%)419 (68.4)HINT-8 memory (%)403 (65.7)HINT-8 depression (%)205 (33.4)Hypertension (%)233 (38.0)Diabetes mellitus (%)100 (16.3)Obesity (%)200 (32.6)	DBP (mmHg)	$72.61 \pm 9.46$						
Middle school $132 (21.5)$ High school $172 (28.1)$ $\geq$ College $114 (18.6)$ Marriage status (%) $114 (18.6)$ Married $609 (99.3)$ Single $4 (0.7)$ Economic activity status $4 (0.7)$ Economic activity status $53 (57.6)$ Smoking (%) $113 (18.4)$ Alcohol (%) $419 (68.4)$ HINT-8 memory (%) $403 (65.7)$ HINT-8 depression (%) $205 (33.4)$ Hypertension (%) $233 (38.0)$ Diabetes mellitus (%) $100 (16.3)$ Obesity (%) $200 (32.6)$	Education (%)							
High school $172 (28.1)$ $\geq$ College $114 (18.6)$ Marriage status (%) $114 (18.6)$ Married $609 (99.3)$ Single $4 (0.7)$ Economic activity status $4 (0.7)$ Economic activity status $260 (42.4)$ Unemployed $353 (57.6)$ Smoking (%) $113 (18.4)$ Alcohol (%) $419 (68.4)$ HINT-8 memory (%) $403 (65.7)$ HINT-8 depression (%) $205 (33.4)$ Hypertension (%) $233 (38.0)$ Diabetes mellitus (%) $100 (16.3)$ Obesity (%) $200 (32.6)$	$\leq$ Elementary school	195 (31.8)						
≥College $114 (18.6)$ Marriage status (%) $609 (99.3)$ Married $609 (99.3)$ Single $4 (0.7)$ Economic activity status $260 (42.4)$ Unemployed $253 (57.6)$ Smoking (%) $113 (18.4)$ Alcohol (%) $419 (68.4)$ HINT-8 memory (%) $403 (65.7)$ HINT-8 depression (%) $205 (33.4)$ Hypertension (%) $280 (45.7)$ Dyslipidemia (%) $100 (16.3)$ Obesity (%) $200 (32.6)$	Middle school	132 (21.5)						
Marriage status (%)       609 (99.3)         Married       609 (99.3)         Single       4 (0.7)         Economic activity status       260 (42.4)         Employed       260 (42.4)         Unemployed       353 (57.6)         Smoking (%)       113 (18.4)         Alcohol (%)       419 (68.4)         HINT-8 memory (%)       403 (65.7)         HINT-8 depression (%)       205 (33.4)         Hypertension (%)       280 (45.7)         Dyslipidemia (%)       100 (16.3)         Obesity (%)       200 (32.6)	High school	172 (28.1)						
Married609 (99.3)Single4 (0.7)Economic activity statusEmployedEmployed260 (42.4)Unemployed353 (57.6)Smoking (%)113 (18.4)Alcohol (%)419 (68.4)HINT-8 memory (%)403 (65.7)HINT-8 depression (%)205 (33.4)Hypertension (%)280 (45.7)Dyslipidemia (%)233 (38.0)Diabetes mellitus (%)100 (16.3)Obesity (%)200 (32.6)	≥College	114 (18.6)						
Single       4 (0.7)         Economic activity status       260 (42.4)         Unemployed       353 (57.6)         Smoking (%)       113 (18.4)         Alcohol (%)       419 (68.4)         HINT-8 memory (%)       403 (65.7)         HINT-8 depression (%)       205 (33.4)         Hypertension (%)       280 (45.7)         Dyslipidemia (%)       100 (16.3)         Obesity (%)       200 (32.6)	Marriage status (%)							
Economic activity status         Employed       260 (42.4)         Unemployed       353 (57.6)         Smoking (%)       113 (18.4)         Alcohol (%)       419 (68.4)         HINT-8 memory (%)       403 (65.7)         HINT-8 depression (%)       205 (33.4)         Hypertension (%)       280 (45.7)         Dyslipidemia (%)       233 (38.0)         Diabetes mellitus (%)       100 (16.3)         Obesity (%)       200 (32.6)	Married	609 (99.3)						
Employed260 (42.4)Unemployed353 (57.6)Smoking (%)113 (18.4)Alcohol (%)419 (68.4)HINT-8 memory (%)403 (65.7)HINT-8 depression (%)205 (33.4)Hypertension (%)280 (45.7)Dyslipidemia (%)233 (38.0)Diabetes mellitus (%)100 (16.3)Obesity (%)200 (32.6)	Single	4 (0.7)						
Unemployed353 (57.6)Smoking (%)113 (18.4)Alcohol (%)419 (68.4)HINT-8 memory (%)403 (65.7)HINT-8 depression (%)205 (33.4)Hypertension (%)280 (45.7)Dyslipidemia (%)233 (38.0)Diabetes mellitus (%)100 (16.3)Obesity (%)200 (32.6)	Economic activity status							
Smoking (%)       113 (18.4)         Alcohol (%)       419 (68.4)         HINT-8 memory (%)       403 (65.7)         HINT-8 depression (%)       205 (33.4)         Hypertension (%)       280 (45.7)         Dyslipidemia (%)       233 (38.0)         Diabetes mellitus (%)       100 (16.3)         Obesity (%)       200 (32.6)	Employed	260 (42.4)						
Alcohol (%)419 (68.4)HINT-8 memory (%)403 (65.7)HINT-8 depression (%)205 (33.4)Hypertension (%)280 (45.7)Dyslipidemia (%)233 (38.0)Diabetes mellitus (%)100 (16.3)Obesity (%)200 (32.6)	Unemployed	353 (57.6)						
HINT-8 memory (%)403 (65.7)HINT-8 depression (%)205 (33.4)Hypertension (%)280 (45.7)Dyslipidemia (%)233 (38.0)Diabetes mellitus (%)100 (16.3)Obesity (%)200 (32.6)	Smoking (%)	113 (18.4)						
HINT-8 depression (%)205 (33.4)Hypertension (%)280 (45.7)Dyslipidemia (%)233 (38.0)Diabetes mellitus (%)100 (16.3)Obesity (%)200 (32.6)	Alcohol (%)	419 (68.4)						
Hypertension (%)280 (45.7)Dyslipidemia (%)233 (38.0)Diabetes mellitus (%)100 (16.3)Obesity (%)200 (32.6)	HINT-8 memory (%)	403 (65.7)						
Dyslipidemia (%)233 (38.0)Diabetes mellitus (%)100 (16.3)Obesity (%)200 (32.6)	HINT-8 depression (%)	205 (33.4)						
Diabetes mellitus (%)       100 (16.3)         Obesity (%)       200 (32.6)	Hypertension (%)	280 (45.7)						
Obesity (%) 200 (32.6)	Dyslipidemia (%)	233 (38.0)						
• • • •	Diabetes mellitus (%)	100 (16.3)						
Metabolic syndrome (%) 208 (33.9)	Obesity (%)	200 (32.6)						
	Metabolic syndrome (%)	208 (33.9)						

Values are expressed as means  $\pm$  standard deviation; BMI: body mass index; TC: total cholesterol; HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG: triglyceride; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; HINT-8: healthrelated quality of life instrument with eight items.

Characteristics	Categories	MET-min/week				$\chi^2$	<i>p</i> -value
		PAL-low PAL-high					
		Pre-frail	Frail	Pre-frail	Frail		
Г. <b>ф.</b>		(n = 205, %)	(n = 188, %)	(n = 158, %)	(n = 62, %)		
Education	< Elamanta manha al	50 (29.9)	Q((A5,7))	22(14)	27 (42 5)		
	Elementary school	59 (28.8) 42 (20.5)	86 (45.7)	23 (14.6)	27 (43.5)		
	Middle school	42 (20.5)	50 (26.6)	26 (16.5)	14 (22.6)	77.137	< 0.001***
	High school	61 (29.8)	42 (22.3)	59 (37.3)	10 (16.1)		
~ 11	≥College	43 (21.0)	10 (5.3)	50 (31.6)	11 (17.7)		
Smoking			//		- //		
	Smokers	37 (18.0)	36 (19.1)	31 (19.6)	9 (14.5)	0.865	0.834
	Non-smokers	168 (82.0)	152 (80.9)	127 (80.4)	53 (85.5)		
Alcohol consum	ption						
	Yes	142 (69.3)	110 (58.5)	123 (77.8)	44 (71.0)	15.279	0.002**
	None	63 (30.7)	78 (41.5)	35 (22.2)	18 (29.0)		
HINT-8 Memor	у						
	Normal	79 (38.5)	46 (24.5)	70 (44.3)	16 (25.9)	19.535	< 0.001***
	Abnormal	126 (61.5)	142 (75.5)	88 (55.7)	46 (74.1)	17.555	<0.001
HINT-8 Depress	sion						
	Yes	61 (29.8)	88 (46.8)	34 (21.5)	22 (35.5)	26.549	<0.001***
	None	144 (70.2)	100 (53.2)	124 (78.5)	40 (64.5)	20.349	<0.001***
Hypertension							
	Yes	103 (50.2)	79 (42.0)	72 (45.6)	26 (41.9)	2.000	0.379
	None	102 (49.8)	109 (58.0)	86 (54.4)	36 (58.1)	3.086	
Dyslipidemia							
	Yes	68 (33.2)	78 (41.5)	58 (36.7)	29 (46.8)	<b>5</b> 100	0.1.60
	None	137 (66.8)	110 (58.5)	100 (63.3)	33 (53.2)	5.138	0.162
Diabetes mellitu	IS						
	Yes	33 (16.1)	21 (11.2)	30 (19.0)	16 (25.8)		
	None	172 (83.9)	167 (88.8)	128 (81.0)	46 (74.2)	8.570	0.036*
Obesity					· · · ·		
5	Yes	71 (34.6)	54 (28.7)	50 (31.6)	25 (40.3)		
	None	134 (65.4)	134 (71.3)	108 (68.4)	37 (59.7)	3.419	0.331
Metabolic syndrome							
	Yes	71 (34.6)	66 (35.1)	50 (31.6)	21 (33.9)		0.912
	None	134 (65.4)	122 (64.9)	108 (68.4)	41 (66.1)	0.529	
	1,0110	13+(03.7)	122 (07.7)	100 (00.7)	+1 (00.1)		

TABLE 2. Baseline characteristics by PALs and frailty status among older Korean males.

PAL: physical activity level; MET: metabolic equivalents; HINT-8: health-related quality of life instrument with eight items; \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001.

Variables	MET-min/week					<i>p</i> -value
	PAL-low		PAL			
	Pre-frail	Frail	Pre-frail	Frail		
	(n = 205)	(n = 188)	(n = 158)	(n = 62)		
Weight (kg)	$66.61\pm8.96$	$64.01\pm10.21$	$67.23 \pm 9.05$	$65.89 \pm 9.58$	3.882	0.009**
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$23.98 \pm 2.76$	$23.58\pm3.16$	$23.96\pm2.94$	$24.09\pm3.23$	0.837	0.474
Waist circumference (cm)	$89.01\pm8.22$	$89.46 \pm 9.52$	$88.92\pm8.26$	$90.79\pm9.41$	0.790	0.500
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	$109.55\pm27.16$	$108.00\pm24.69$	$112.28\pm28.66$	$112.65\pm29.32$	0.930	0.426
TC (mg/dL)	$175.74\pm39.79$	$175.74\pm36.51$	$181.26\pm38.93$	$177.29\pm60.51$	0.765	0.514
HDL-C (mg/dL)	$48.09\pm10.30$	$45.41\pm11.92$	$47.97 \pm 11.61$	$46.98\pm10.84$	2.254	0.081
TG (mg/dL)	$134.72\pm99.28$	$151.24\pm14.17$	$132.37\pm83.90$	$141.92\pm72.76$	1.110	0.344
SBP (mmHg)	$127.60\pm15.16$	$126.81\pm17.06$	$126.68\pm13.30$	$128.65\pm18.97$	0.331	0.818
DBP (mmHg)	$73.95\pm8.67$	$70.85\pm10.29$	$73.63\pm9.13$	$70.92\pm9.30$	4.913	0.002**

TABLE 3. Cardiometabolic disease risk factors according to PALs and frailty status among older Korean males.

*PAL:* physical activity level; *MET:* metabolic equivalents; *BMI:* body mass index; *FG:* fasting glucose; *TC:* total cholesterol; *HDL-C:* high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; *TG:* triglycerides; *SBP:* systolic blood pressure; *DBP:* diastolic blood pressure; \*\*p < 0.01.

#### 4. Discussion

The study aimed to examine the prevalence of cardiometabolic diseases, self-reported memory loss, and depression among older adults in Korea according to frailty and physical activity status. In the present study, the PAL-low/frail group had the highest levels of HINT-8 memory and HINT-8 depression. Regarding the ORs of cardiometabolic diseases, HINT-8 memory, and HINT-8 depression according to PALs and frailty status, the prevalence of diabetes mellitus was significantly higher in PAL-high/frail and PAL-high/pre-frail (*vs.* PAL-low/frail). the prevalence of obesity was significantly higher in PAL-high/frail (*vs.* PAL-low/frail). However, the incidence of HINT-8 depression was significantly lower in PAL-low/pre-frail and PAL-high/pre-frail (*vs.* PAL-low/frail).

HINT-8 memory was highest in the PAL-low/frail group. This finding supports the concept of cognitive frailty, in which physical frailty and mild cognitive impairment symptoms cooccur [19]. In a study investigating the link between physical activity and mild cognitive impairment, the lower the level of physical activity, the higher the risk of mild cognitive impairment [42]. Conversely, increased participation in physical activity can increase the size of the frontal lobe and hippocampal brain regions, resulting in decreased memory malfunction [43]. Regarding the OR of HINT-8 memory according to PAL and frailty status, the incidence of HINT-8 memory was significantly lower in the PAL-low/pre-frail and PAL-high/pre-frail (vs. PAL-low/frail) group. This means that the odds of self-reported memory loss decreased in the pre-frail elderly rather than the frail. However, it was not possible to confirm the difference according to the level of physical activity. In a study that analyzed plasma brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) levels in older adults in Korea, the level of BDNF was low in older adults with frailty, and the level of frailty was significantly related to plasma BDNF [44]. BDNF is a neurotrophic factor that plays a vital role in neuronal

survival and growth and participates in neuroplasticity, which is essential for learning and memory [45]. Physical activity, such as aerobic exercise, increases BDNF levels, improving memory function [46].

HINT-8 depression was highest in the PAL-low/frail group, consistent with the finding that depression and frailty are linked [22]. In addition, the results showed that groups with low PALs had a higher probability of developing depression [47]. It is also associated with BDNF between physical activity and depression. Decreased BDNF levels and altered functions can contribute to increased depression, and BDNF currently acts as a biomarker for patients with depression, with application in diagnosis and treatment [48]. In patients with depression, physical activity is recognized as a nonpharmacological strategy for improving depressive symptoms by increasing blood BDNF levels [49]. Regarding the OR of HINT-8 depression according to PAL and frailty status, the incidence of HINT-8 depression was significantly lower in the PAL-low/pre-frail and PAL-high/pre-frail (vs. PAL-low/frail) group. This means that odds of self-reported depressed mood decreased in the prefrail elderly rather than the frail. However, it was not possible to confirm the difference according to the level of physical activity. A study on the transition from frailty to depressive symptoms in older adults in Korea found that the transition of frailty in older adults was related to depressive symptoms [50]. One of the physiological changes associated with frailty is an increase in the levels of the inflammatory cytokine interleukin 6 (IL-6) [51]. IL-6 is a cytokine with multiple effects on inflammation, immune responses, and hematopoietic blood [52]. Inflammation is a characteristic of aging and is associated with age-related diseases, including depression [53]. An increase in IL-6 levels is associated with depressive symptoms in older adults [54].

Diabetes mellitus was highest in the PAL-high/frailty group, consistent with the finding that the prevalence of diabetes mellitus increases with frailty [7]. However, this was inconsistent with a previous study showing that physical activity can reduce

according to PALs and frailty status.							
Variables	Group	Model 1	Mode	Model 2			
		OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value		
Hypertens	ion						
	PAL-low/frail	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)			
	PAL-low/pre-frail	1.47 (0.94–2.30)	0.091	1.54 (0.96–2.47)	0.076		
	PAL-high/frail	1.28 (0.67–2.44)	0.449	1.34 (0.69–2.60)	0.381		
	PAL-high/pre-frail	1.31 (0.81–2.12)	0.278	1.33 (0.78–2.28)	0.293		
Dyslipider	nia						
	PAL-low/frail	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)			
	PAL-low/pre-frail	0.78 (0.48–1.26)	0.306	0.83 (0.49–1.39)	0.476		
	PAL-high/frail	1.61 (0.81–3.18)	0.173	1.82 (0.90–3.69)	0.096		
	PAL-high/pre-frail	1.01 (0.60–1.70)	0.974	1.15 (0.64–2.05)	0.664		
Diabetes n	nellitus						
	PAL-low/frail	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)			
	PAL-low/pre-frail	1.70 (0.92–3.14)	0.092	1.82 (0.95–3.48)	0.072		
	PAL-high/frail	3.28 (1.52–7.10)	0.003**	3.47 (1.56–7.69)	0.002**		
	PAL-high/pre-frail	2.31 (1.22-4.40)	0.011*	2.74 (1.35–5.58)	0.005**		
Obesity							
	PAL-low/frail	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)			
	PAL-low/pre-frail	1.52 (0.95–2.44)	0.081	1.59 (0.96–2.63)	0.071		
	PAL-high/frail	1.99 (1.02–3.87)	0.044*	2.18 (1.10-4.33)	0.026*		
	PAL-high/pre-frail	1.43 (0.85–2.38)	0.178	1.45 (0.82–2.58)	0.199		
Metabolic	syndrome						
	PAL-low/frail	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)			
	PAL-low/pre-frail	0.79 (0.45–1.39)	0.415	0.70 (0.38–1.27)	0.240		
	PAL-high/frail	0.45 (0.20–1.03)	0.060	0.37 (0.16–0.89)	0.025*		
	PAL-high/pre-frail	0.62 (0.34–1.15)	0.130	0.51 (0.26–1.01)	0.053		
HINT-8 M	emory						
	PAL-low/frail	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)			
	PAL-low/pre-frail	0.61 (0.39–0.98)	0.040*	0.66 (0.40–1.07)	0.094		
	PAL-high/frail	1.08 (0.29–1.03)	0.883	1.08 (0.53–2.22)	0.834		
	PAL-high/pre-frail	0.52 (0.32–0.85)	0.009**	0.61 (0.35–1.05)	0.075		
HINT-8 D	epression						
	PAL-low/frail	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)			
	PAL-low/pre-frail	0.53 (0.34–0.82)	0.004**	0.47 (0.30-0.76)	0.002**		
	PAL-high/frail	0.55 (0.29–1.03)	0.062	0.52 (0.27–1.00)	0.050		
	PAL-high/pre-frail	0.35 (0.21–0.59)	< 0.001***	0.29 (0.17–0.52)	< 0.001***		

## TABLE 4. Odds ratio (95% CI) for the odds of cardiometabolic diseases, HINT-8 memory, and HINT-8 depression according to PALs and frailty status.

Values are expressed as odds ratio (95% confidence interval).

*OR:* odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; PAL: physical activity level; HINT-8: health-related quality of life instrument with eight items; Model 1, not adjusted; Model 2, adjusted for age, smoking, alcohol consumption, education, and economic activity status; \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001.

the prevalence of chronic diseases [26]. Regarding the OR of chronic diseases according to PAL and frailty status, the prevalence of diabetes was significantly higher in the PAL-high/frail and PAL-high/pre-frail (*vs.* PAL-low/frail) group. This means that the odds of diabetes mellitus increased in the elderly with high physical activity level. In this study, the level of physical activity was calculated, including work, movement, and leisure; 42.4% of the 613 participants engaged in economic activity. In a study on the effect of work-related physical activity was found to increase the risk of diabetes mellitus [55].

However, the odds of cardiometabolic diseases, excluding diabetes mellitus, was inconsistent with previous studies. A possible explanation for this is that patients with cardiometabolic diseases sometimes increase their physical activity for healthcare purposes [56]. The more economically active the disease, the lower the prevalence of chronic diseases [57]. In this study, 42.4% of participants were engaged in economic activities; therefore, there would have been no difficulty using the hospital.

The potential limitations of this study were identified memory function and depression indicators using items from HINT-8, a comprehensive tool. In addition, the calculation of physical activity level was the sum of work, leisure, and moving, and in this study, 42.4% were participants in economic activities, and the amount of physical activity related to work was high. In the future, it is recommended to conduct research using clinical tools to evaluate memory function and depression. And it is recommended to use the amount of physical activity separately from work and leisure.

#### 5. Conclusions

The present study demonstrated that the group with PALlow/frail had the highest self-reported memory loss and depressed mood, suggesting that more physical activity may reduce self-reported memory loss and depressed mood among frail older males in Korea. However further research is needed to analyze the level of physical activity separately between work and leisure.

#### AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

Not applicable.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YHL, WJK and HYP—conceived and designed this study; conducted the data analysis; discussed the results and concluded. YHL, WJK, CHS and HYP—collected data for analysis. YHL and WJK—wrote the original draft of the manuscript. All the authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This study used data from the 2019 Korea National Health and Nutrition Survey, published by the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Korea Center for Disease Control and Prevention Institutional Review Board (IRB number: 2018-10-03-C-A). All participants received information regarding the purpose and process of the study and provided informed consent after receiving sufficient explanation regarding the experiment.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported by Konkuk University in 2023. We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.co.kr) for English language editing.

#### FUNDING

This research received no external funding.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Evans NR, Todd OM, Minhas JS, Fearon P, Harston GW, Mant J, et al. Frailty and cerebrovascular disease: concepts and clinical implications for stroke medicine. International Journal of Stroke. 2022; 17: 251–259.
- [2] Liu P, Li Y, Zhang Y, Mesbah SE, Ji T, Ma L. Frailty and hypertension in older adults: current understanding and future perspectives. Hypertension Research. 2020; 43: 1352–1360.
- [3] Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, et al. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences. 2001; 56: M146–M157.
- [4] Lee YK, Kim SJ, Hwang NH, Lim JM, Joo BH, Namgung EH, et al. 2020 Survey on senior citizens. 2020. Available at: http://www.mohw.go.kr/react/jb/sjb030301vw.jsp?PAR\_ MENU\_ID=03&MENU\_ID=032901&CONT\_SEQ=366496&page=1 (Accessed: 13 August 2023).
- [5] Kang MG, Kim SW, Yoon SJ, Choi JY, Kim KI, Kim CH, et al. Association between frailty and hypertension prevalence, treatment, and control in the elderly Korean population. Scientific Reports. 2017; 7: 7542.
- [6] Tamura Y, Omura T, Toyoshima K, Araki A. Nutrition management in older adults with diabetes: a review on the importance of shifting prevention strategies from metabolic syndrome to frailty. Nutrients. 2020; 12: 3367.
- [7] Assar ME, Laosa O, Rodríguez Mañas L. Diabetes and frailty. Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition & Metabolic Care. 2019; 22: 52–57.
- [8] Liu X, Dai G, He Q, Ma H, Hu H. Frailty index and cardiovascular disease among middle-aged and older Chinese adults: a nationally representative cross-sectional and follow-up study. Journal of Cardiovascular Development and Disease. 2022; 9: 228.
- [9] NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). National trends in total cholesterol obscure heterogeneous changes in HDL and non-HDL cholesterol and total-to-HDL cholesterol ratio: a pooled analysis of 458 population-based studies in Asian and Western countries. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2020; 49: 173–192.
- <sup>[10]</sup> Yuan Y, Lin S, Huang X, Li N, Zheng J, Huang F, et al. The identification

and prediction of frailty based on Bayesian network analysis in a community-dwelling older population. BMC Geriatrics. 2022; 22: 847.

- Buchmann N, Spira D, König M, Demuth I, Steinhagen-Thiessen E. Frailty and the metabolic syndrome—results of the Berlin aging Study II (BASE-II). The Journal of Frailty & Aging. 2019; 8: 169–175.
- <sup>[12]</sup> Viscogliosi G. The metabolic syndrome: a risk factor for the frailty syndrome? Journal of the American Medical Directors Association. 2016; 17: 364–366.
- [13] Dao HHH, Burns MJ, Kha R, Chow CK, Nguyen TN. The relationship between metabolic syndrome and frailty in older people: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Geriatrics. 2022; 7: 76.
- [14] Lee WJ, Peng LN, Chen LK. Metabolic syndrome and its components are associated with frailty: a nationwide population-based study in Taiwan. Aging Medicine and Healthcare. 2020; 11: 47–52.
- [15] Jayanama K, Theou O, Godin J, Mayo A, Cahill L, Rockwood K, et al. Relationship of body mass index with frailty and all-cause mortality among middle-aged and older adults. BMC Medicine. 2022; 20: 404.
- [16] Carter C, James T, Higgs P, Cooper C, Rapaport P. Understanding the subjective experiences of memory concern and MCI diagnosis: a scoping review. Dementia. 2023; 22: 439–474.
- [17] Anderson ND. State of the science on mild cognitive impairment (MCI). CNS Spectrums. 2019; 24: 78–87.
- <sup>[18]</sup> El Haj M, Colombel F, Kapogiannis D, Gallouj K. False memory in alzheimer's disease. Behavioural Neurology. 2020; 2020: 1–10.
- <sup>[19]</sup> Kelaiditi E, Cesari M, Canevelli M, Abellan van Kan G, Ousset PJ, Gillette-Guyonnet S, *et al.* Cognitive frailty: rational and definition from an (I.A.N.A./I.A.G.G.) international consensus group. The Journal of Nutrition, Health & Aging. 2013; 17: 726–734.
- <sup>[20]</sup> Kwan RYC, Leung AYM, Yee A, Lau LT, Xu XY, Dai DLK. Cognitive frailty and its association with nutrition and depression in communitydwelling older people. The Journal of Nutrition, Health & Aging. 2019; 23: 943–948.
- [21] Zhao J, Liu YWJ, Tyrovolas S, Mutz J. Exploring the concept of psychological frailty in older adults: a systematic scoping review. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 2023; 159: 300–308.
- [22] Deng M, Liu F, Liang Y, Wang K, Nie J, Liu J. Association between frailty and depression: a bidirectional Mendelian randomization study. Science Advances. 2023; 9: eadi3902.
- [23] Soysal P, Veronese N, Thompson T, Kahl KG, Fernandes BS, Prina AM, et al. Relationship between depression and frailty in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ageing Research Reviews. 2017; 36: 78–87.
- [24] Kehler DS, Theou O. The impact of physical activity and sedentary behaviors on frailty levels. Mechanisms of Ageing and Development. 2019; 180: 29–41.
- <sup>[25]</sup> Wanigatunga AA, Cai Y, Urbanek JK, Mitchell CM, Roth DL, Miller ER, *et al.* Objectively measured patterns of daily physical activity and phenotypic frailty. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A. 2022; 77: 1882–1889.
- <sup>[26]</sup> McPhee JS, French DP, Jackson D, Nazroo J, Pendleton N, Degens H. Physical activity in older age: perspectives for healthy ageing and frailty. Biogerontology. 2016; 17: 567–580.
- [27] Zhao J, Jiang W, Wang X, Cai Z, Liu Z, Liu G. Exercise, brain plasticity, and depression. CNS Neuroscience & Therapeutics. 2020; 26: 885–895.
- [28] Nuzum H, Stickel A, Corona M, Zeller M, Melrose RJ, Wilkins SS. Potential benefits of physical activity in MCI and dementia. Behavioural Neurology. 2020; 2020: 1–10.
- [29] Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE Jr, Collins KJ, Dennison Himmelfarb C, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on clinical practice guidelines. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2018; 71: e127-e248.
- [30] Cho SMJ, Lee H, Lee H, Baek J, Heo JE, Joo HJ, et al. Dyslipidemia fact sheets in Korea 2020: an analysis of nationwide population-based data. Journal of Lipid and Atherosclerosis. 2021; 10: 202.
- [31] World Health Organization, International Diabetes Federation. Definition and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia:

report of a WHO/IDF consultation. (ISBN: 9241594934). Geneva. 2006. <sup>[32]</sup> Mathis BJ, Tanaka K, Hiramatsu Y, Factors of obesity and metabolically

- healthy obesity in Asia. Medicina. 2022; 58: 1271.
  Seo MH, Lee W, Kim SS, Kang J, Kang J, Kim KK, *et al.* 2018 Korean society for the study of obesity guideline for the management of obesity in Korea. Journal of Obesity & Metabolic Syndrome. 2019; 28: 40–45.
- [34] Kim SH, Kim M. Validity of the health-related quality of life instrument with 8 items (HINT-8) in the Korean elderly: a cross-sectional study. Journal of Korean Gerontological Nursing. 2022; 24: 248–256.
- [35] Yang S, Jang W, Kim Y. Association between frailty and dietary intake amongst the Korean elderly: based on the 2018 Korean national health and nutrition examination survey. Journal of Nutrition and Health. 2021; 54: 631.
- [36] Jönsson A, Lindgren I, Norrving B, Lindgren A. Weight loss after stroke. Stroke. 2008; 39: 918–923.
- [37] Chen L, Liu L, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung T, Bahyah KS, et al. Sarcopenia in Asia: Consensus Report of the Asian working group for sarcopenia. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association. 2014; 15: 95–101.
- [38] Kim S, Ahn J, Ock M, Shin S, Park J, Luo N, et al. The EQ-5D-5L valuation study in Korea. Quality of Life Research. 2016; 25: 1845–1852.
- [39] Grossi G, Jeding K, Söderström M, Osika W, Levander M, Perski A. Self-reported sleep lengths ≥9 hours among Swedish patients with stressrelated exhaustion: associations with depression, quality of sleep and levels of fatigue. Nordic Journal of Psychiatry. 2015; 69: 292–299.
- [40] Savela SL, Koistinen P, Stenholm S, Tilvis RS, Strandberg AY, Pitkälä KH, et al. Leisure-time physical activity in midlife is related to old age frailty. The Journals of Gerontology. Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences. 2013; 68: 1433–1438.
- [41] World Health Organization. Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) Analysis Guide. Geneva. 2018. Available at: https:// www.who.int/docs/default-source/ncds/ncd-surveillance/ gpaq-analysis-guide.pdf (Accessed: 14 August 2023).
- [42] Schlosser Covell GE, Hoffman-Snyder CR, Wellik KE, Woodruff BK, Geda YE, Caselli RJ, *et al.* Physical activity level and future risk of mild cognitive impairment or dementia. The Neurologist. 2015; 19: 89–91.
- [43] Mahalakshmi B, Maurya N, Lee SD, Bharath Kumar V. Possible neuroprotective mechanisms of physical exercise in neurodegeneration. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2020; 21: 5895.
- [44] Roh E, Hwang SY, Song E, Park MJ, Yoo HJ, Baik Sh, et al. Association of plasma brain-derived neurotrophic factor levels and frailty in community-dwelling older adults. Scientific Reports. 2022; 12: 18605.
- [45] Chaturvedi P, Singh A, Tiwari V, Thacker A. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor levels in acute stroke and its clinical implications. Brain Circulation. 2020; 6: 185.
- [46] Eisenstein T, Giladi N, Hendler T, Havakuk O, Lerner Y. Hippocampal and non-hippocampal correlates of physically active lifestyle and their relation to episodic memory in older adults. Neurobiology of Aging. 2022; 109: 100–112.
- [47] Schuch FB, Vancampfort D, Firth J, Rosenbaum S, Ward PB, Silva ES, *et al.* Physical activity and incident depression: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. American Journal of Psychiatry. 2018; 175: 631–648.
- [48] Phillips C. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor, depression, and physical activity: making the neuroplastic connection. Neural Plasticity. 2017; 2017: 7260130.
- [49] Murawska-Ciałowicz E, Wiatr M, Ciałowicz M, Gomes de Assis G, Borowicz W, Rocha-Rodrigues S, *et al.* BDNF impact on biological markers of depression—role of physical exercise and training. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021; 18: 7553.
- [50] Nerobkova N, Park YS, Park EC, Shin J. Frailty transition and depression among community-dwelling older adults: the Korean longitudinal study of aging (2006–2020). BMC Geriatrics. 2023; 23: 148.
- [51] Gómez-Rubio P, Trapero I, Cauli O, Buigues C. Salivary IL-6 concentration is associated with frailty syndrome in older individuals. Diagnostics. 2022; 12: 117.
- [52] Tanaka T, Narazaki M, Kishimoto T. IL-6 in inflammation, immunity, and disease. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology. 2014; 6: a016295.
- <sup>[53]</sup> Lu W, Gonzalez-Bautista E, Guyonnet S, Lucas A, Parini A, Walston

JD, *et al.* Plasma inflammation-related biomarkers are associated with intrinsic capacity in community-dwelling older adults. Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle. 2023; 14: 930–939.

- [54] Vaughan L, Corbin AL, Goveas JS. Depression and frailty in later life: a systematic review. Clinical Interventions in Aging. 2015; 10: 1947–1958.
- [55] Oh HS. Opposite effects of work-related physical activity and leisure-time physical activity on the risk of diabetes in Korean adults. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020; 17: 5812.
- [56] Chung N, Park H, Park M, Hwang Y, Lee C, Han J, et al. Association of daily physical activity level with health-related factors by gender and age-specific differences among Korean adults based on the sixth (2014– 2015) Korea national health and nutrition examination survey. Journal of Exercise Nutrition & Biochemistry. 2017; 21: 30–38.
- [57] Choi KK, Kim SH, Yoo KD, Kim HJ, Park JI, Hwang S, *et al.* Variation in the rate of well-controlled status of chronic disease by income level in Korea: 2010 to 2015. Medicine. 2018; 97: e12059.

How to cite this article: Yong-Ho Lim, Won-Je Kim, Chul-Ho Shin, Hun-Young Park. Relationship between prevalence of cardiometabolic diseases, self-reported memory loss, and self-reported depressed mood of older adults in Korea according to frailty and physical activity status. Journal of Men's Health. 2024; 20(2): 11-20. doi: 10.22514/jomh.2024.019.